NAME: ..... SURNAME: .....

STUDENT ID: .....

1. [1 PT each] Say whether the following statements are true or false:

1) Checking the correctness of a language means checking its semantics	□ <b>F</b>
2) ULM and Entity-Relationship (ER) models have formal syntax	
3) In the extensional semantics each symbol is assigned an object of the domain	<b>F</b>
4) Given a formal language, a model is an interpretation function	
5) Model checking is the service that finds a model satisfying a given proposition P	<b>F</b>
6) In PL, a proposition P is unsatisfiable iff its negation is satisfiable	$\square$ <b>F</b>
7) DPLL procedure is always polynomial in time	<b>F</b>
8) In DPLL, the pure literal step assign a truth value to all the symbols appearing once in the formula	$\square$ <b>F</b>
9) The PL formula $A \rightarrow A$ is valid	□ <b>T</b>
10) Instance checking id the ABox service that finds all the instances of a concept C	<b>F</b>

2. [2 PT] Show how to reduce in ClassL unsatisfiability and disjointness to subsumption.

C unsat iff  $C \equiv \bot$ C  $\bot$  B iff C  $\sqcap$  B  $\equiv \bot$ 

- 3. [5 PT] Define for a formula P in ClassL what it means
  - a. to be true in a model
  - b. to be satisfiable
  - c. to be valid
  - d. to be unsatisfiable
  - e. define the relations between sat, unsat and valid

NAME: ..... SURNAME: .....

STUDENT ID: .....

a) P is true in a model I iff I(P) is not the empty set.

b) P is sat iff there exist an I such that I(P) is not the empty set.

c) P is valid iff for all I then I(P) is not the empty set.

d) P is unsat iff there is not an I such that I(P) is not the empty set.

e) valid(P) iff unsat(¬P)

 $sat(P) \land \neg valid(P)$ iff  $sat(\neg P) \land \neg valid(\neg P)$ 

NAME: ..... SURNAME: .....

STUDENT ID: .....

4. [2 PT] Using a BNF grammar, provide the syntax of the PL language and then the corresponding intentional semantics.

5. [2 PT] Define a PL language and a theory for the following problem: "Managers are employees. A company is important if there is at least a manager". Provide also a model for the theory in which manager is false.

```
\begin{array}{l} L = \{ company, manager, important, employee \} \\ T = \{ manager \rightarrow employee, manager \rightarrow company \land important \} \\ I(manager) = F \\ I(employee) = T \\ I(company) = T \\ I(important) = F \end{array}
```

NAME: ..... SURNAME: .....

STUDENT ID: .....

6. [1 PT] Represent the following problem using ClassL (define a TBox and ABox if needed): "Bananas can be yellow or red fruits. Monkeys eat only yellow bananas. Moogle is a monkey."

 $\mathbf{T} = \{\mathbf{Banana} \sqsubseteq \mathbf{Fruit} \sqcap (\mathbf{Yellow} \sqcup \mathbf{Red}), \mathbf{Monkey} \sqsubseteq \mathbf{EatBanana} \sqcap \mathbf{Banana} \sqcap \mathbf{Yellow} \}$ 

A = {Monkey(Moogle)}

7. [2 PT] Using the tableaux calculus, determine whether the PL formula  $(C \rightarrow \neg B) \land B \land \neg (C \lor A)$  is valid.

The formula can be rewritten as follows:  $(\neg C \lor \neg B) \land B \land (\neg C \land \neg A)$ To prove that it is valid we need to prove that its negation is unsatisfiable, i.e. that all the branches are closed:

 $\neg ((\neg C \lor \neg B) \land B \land (\neg C \land \neg A)) \equiv (C \land B) \lor \neg B \lor (C \lor A)$ 

The corresponding tableaux is therefore:

$$(\mathbf{C} \wedge \mathbf{B}) \lor \neg \mathbf{B} \lor (\mathbf{C} \lor \mathbf{A})$$

$$/ \qquad | \qquad \setminus \qquad \land$$

$$\mathbf{C} \qquad \neg \mathbf{B} \qquad \mathbf{C} \qquad \mathbf{A}$$

$$|$$

$$\mathbf{B}$$

where all the branches are open. As a consequence the formula is not valid.

NAME: ..... SURNAME: .....

STUDENT ID: .....

8. [2 PT] Given the TBox T = {B  $\sqsubseteq$  A, B  $\sqsubseteq$  C}, provide a model using Venn diagrams such that T  $\models \neg A \sqcap C$ . Shadow the area corresponding to  $\neg A \sqcap C$ .

U

9. [2 PT] Provide the steps and the output of the DPLL algorithm for the PL formula  $(C \rightarrow A) \land (A \rightarrow B) \land \neg B \land A$ 

The formula has to be rewritten in CNF:

 $(\neg C \lor A) \land (\neg A \lor B) \land \neg B \land A$ 

By assigning the truth values to the unit clauses I(B) = F and I(A) = T, and by propagating them we obtain that the first clause is eliminated while the second becomes an empty clause.

As a consequence the DPLL returns false.

NAME: ..... SURNAME: .....

STUDENT ID: .....

 10. [2 PT] Given the TBox T above, prove by concept expansion that T ⊨ Assistant ⊑ Student

 Undergraduate ⊑ ¬ Teach
 PhD
 ≡ Master ⊓ Research

 Bachelor
 ≡ Student ⊓ Undergraduate
 Assistant
 ≡ PhD ⊓ Teach

 Master
 ≡ Student ⊓ ¬ Undergraduate

Assistant  $\equiv$  PhD  $\sqcap$  Teach

**PhD**  $\sqcap$  **Teach**  $\equiv$  (Master  $\sqcap$  Research)  $\sqcap$  Teach

Master  $\sqcap$  Research  $\sqcap$  Teach  $\equiv$  (Student  $\sqcap \neg$  Undergraduate)  $\sqcap$  Research  $\sqcap$  Teach

 $(Student \sqcap \neg Undergraduate) \sqcap Research \sqcap Teach \sqsubseteq Student$ 

Therefore Assistant  $\sqsubseteq$  Student

11. [3 PT] Given the following TBox T and the ABox A: T = { A  $\sqsubseteq \neg B$ , C  $\equiv D \sqcap A$  , E  $\sqsubseteq D$  } A = { C(a), E(c), B(b) }

(a) Provide the expansion of A w.r.t. T (without normalizing T)

C(a), D(a), A(a), ¬B(a), E(c), D(c), B(b)

#### (b) Provide the instance retrieval of D

{**a**, **c**}

(c) Say (YES/NO) weather by adding  $\neg A(c)$  to the ABox it remains consistent or not w.r.t. T. Motivate your response.

Yes, because there is no assertion A(c) in the expanded ABox.